

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CAT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,271 10/23/2001		Frits Jacobus Fallaux	3833.6US	8381		
24247	7590	06/06/2005		EXAMINER		
TRASK B			NGUYEN, DAVE TRONG			
P.O. BOX						
SALT LAK	Œ CITY, U	JT 84110	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1632		

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/038,27	71	FALLAUX ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Dave T. N	· . ·	1632					
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply 	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even n. a reply within the state eriod will apply and w statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	<u>07 March 2005</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is n	on-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,30-33 and 35-38</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,30-33 and 35-38</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· · · · · ·									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election r	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
* 8	see the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/8/04. 9 1 20 10 4 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/038,271

Art Unit: 1632

Claims 7-29, 34 and 39 are canceled, claims 1 and 40 are amended by the amendment dated March 7, 2005

Claims 1-6, and 30-33, and 35-38 are pending.

The examiner acknowledges the personal interview between applicant and the examiner on Feb 8, 2005. The examiner would like to thank applicants for the claim amendment and the Deposit receipt and Declaration, which facilitates the advance of the prosecution of the as-filed application.

However, during the process of checking inventors' applications, the ODP issue needs to be address before these claims can be in condition for allowance.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,271

Art Unit: 1632

Claims 1-6, 30-33, and 35-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of US 6033908 and of US 6306652.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: The examined claims encompass a method of employing adenovirus sequences expressing E1A and E1B but not protein IX for the making of RCA-free replication defective adenovirus carriers. Given that the claims of the '908 patent and the claims of the '652 patent are drawn to essentially the same concept of utilizing a system comprising a primary packaging cell consisting essentially of adenovirus sequences expressing E1A and E1B but not protein IX for the making of RCA-free replication defective adenovirus carriers, the examined claims and the claims from either the patent are obvious variants of one another.

Claims 1-6, 30-33, and 35-38 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of US 10/125,751, claims 21, 9, and 10 of 10/219,414 and claims 1-28 of 10/618,526.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: The examined claims encompass a method of employing adenovirus sequences expressing E1A and E1B but not protein IX for the making of RCA-free replication defective adenovirus carriers. Given that the claims of the '751 application, or the claims of the '414 patent application and the claims of the '526

Application/Control Number: 10/038,271

Art Unit: 1632

comprising a plasmid sequence consisting essentially of adenovirus sequences expressing E1A and E1B but not protein IX for the making of RCA-free replication defective adenovirus carriers, or a primary packaging cell consisting essentially of adenovirus based plasmid sequences expressing E1A and E1B but not protein IX for the making of RCA-free replication defective adenovirus carriers, the examined claims and the claims from either the patent are obvious variants of one another.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Dave Nguyen* whose telephone number is **571-272-0731**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Ram Shukla*, may be reached at **571-272-0735**.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Central Fax number, which is **571-273-8300**.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Art Unit: 1632

Dave Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632

DAVETRONG NGUYEN
DRIMARY EXAMINER